brake shoe coating and structural steel coating operations from Miscellaneous Metal Coatings.

(3) Addition of new COMAR 26.11.19.13-3: Control of Volatile Organic Compounds from Structural Steel Coating Operations, adopted by the Secretary of the Environment on June 5, 1998, and effective on June 29, 1998, including the following:

(i) Addition of new COMAR 26.11.19.13–3.A Definitions, including definitions for the terms "controlled air spray system," "dip coating operation," "protective coating," and "structural steel coating operation."

(ii) Addition of new COMAR 26.11.19.13-3.B Applicability.

(*iii*) Addition of new COMAR 26.11.19.13–3.C Coating Requirements.

(iv) Addition of new COMAR 26.11.19.13–3.D Cleanup Requirements.

- (4) Revision to COMAR 26.11.19.18: Control of VOC Emissions from Screen Printing and Digital Imaging, adopted by the Secretary of the Environment on August 4, 1998, and effective on August 24, 1998, including the following:
- (*i*) Addition of new COMAR 26.11.19.18.A(5-1), definition for the term ''digital imaging.''
- (ii) Deletion of existing COMAR 26.11.19.18.B-I.
- (iii) Addition of new COMAR 26.11.18.21.B Applicability.
- (iv) Addition of new COMAR 26.11.18.21.C General Requirements for Screen Printing.
- (v) Addition of new COMAR 26.11.18.21.D General Requirements for Plywood Sign Coating.
- (vi) Addition of new COMAR 26.11.18.21.E General Requirements for Plastic Card Manufacturing.
- (vii) Addition of new COMAR 26.11.19.18.F General Requirements for Digital Imaging.
- (viii) Addition of new COMAR 26.11.19.18.G Record Keeping.
 - (ii) Additional Material.
- (A) Remainder of February 10, 1999 and February 12, 1999 Maryland State submittals pertaining to COMAR 26.11.19.07-1, .13-2, .13-3, and .18.
- (143) Revisions to the Code of Maryland Air Regulations (COMAR) 26.11.01.01 and 26.11.09.01, and limited approval of revisions to COMAR

26.11.09.08, submitted on June 8, 1993 and July 11, 1995 by the Maryland Department of the Environment:

(i) Incorporation by reference.

- (A) Letter of June 8, 1993 from the Maryland Department of the Environment transmitting COMAR 26.11.09.08, Control of NO_X Emissions from Major Stationary Sources and amendments to COMAR 26.11.09.01, Definitions.
- (B) COMAR 26.11.09.08, Control of NO_X Emissions from Major Stationary Sources, effective on May 10, 1993, replacing the existing COMAR 26.11.09.08.

(C) Amendment to COMAR 26.11.09.01, Definitions, effective on May 10, 1993.

- (D) Letter of July 11, 1995 from the Maryland Department of the Environment transmitting amendments to COMAR 26.11.09.08, Control of NO_X Emissions from Major Stationary Sources, amendments to COMAR 26.11.01.01, Definitions and COMAR 26.11.09.01, Definitions.
- (E) Amendments to COMAR 26.11.09.08, Control of NO_X Emissions from Major Stationary Sources, effective on June 20, 1994 and May 8, 1995.
- (F) Amendment to COMAR 26.11.01.01, Definitions, effective on June 20, 1994.
- (G) Amendments to COMAR 26.11.09.01, Definitions, effective on June 20, 1994 and on May 8, 1995.
 - (ii) Additional material.
- (A) Remainder of June 8, 1993 and July 11, 1995 State submittals.
- (B) Letter of October 29, 1998 from the Maryland Department of the Environment agreeing to meet certain conditions by no later than 12 months after July 22, 1999.

[37 FR 10870, May 31, 1972]

EDITORIAL NOTE: For FEDERAL REGISTER citations affecting $\S52.1070$, see the List of CFR Sections Affected in the Finding Aids section of this volume.

EFFECTIVE DATE NOTES: 1. At 64 FR 32417, June 17, 1999, \$52.1070 was amended by adding paragraph (c)(142), effective Aug. 16, 1999.

2. At 64 FR 33200, June 22, 1999, §52.1070 was amended by adding paragraph (c)(143), effective July 22, 1999.

§52.1071 Classification of regions.

The Maryland plans were evaluated on the basis of the following classifications:

§ 52.1072

Air quality control region	Pollutant				
	Particu- late mat- ter	Sulfur oxides	Nitrogen dioxide	Carbon mon- oxide	Ozone
Cumberland-Keyser Interstate	ı	ı	III	III	III
Central Maryland Intrastate	Ш	11	III	III	III
Metropolitan Baltimore Intrastate	1	1	1	1	1
National Capital Interstate	1	1	III	1	1
Southern Maryland Intrastate	III	III	III	III	III
Eastern Shore Intrastate	II	III	III	III	III

[37 FR 10870, May 31, 1972, as amended at 39 FR 16346, May 8, 1974; 45 FR 53475, Aug. 12, 1980]

§52.1072 Conditional approval.

- (a) The State of Maryland's July 11, 1995 submittal for an enhanced motor vehicle inspection and maintenance (I/ M) program, and the March 27, 1996 amendment to the original SIP revision is conditionally approved based on certain contingencies. The following conditions listed in paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(15) of this section must be addressed in a revised SIP submission. Along with the conditions listed in paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(15) of this section is a separate detailed I/M checklist explaining what is required to fully remedy the deficiencies found in the proposed notice of conditional approval. This checklist is found in the Technical Support Document (TSD), located in the docket of this rulemaking, that was prepared in support of the proposed conditional I/M rulemaking action for Maryland. By no later than one year from September 29, 1997, Maryland must submit a revised SIP that meets the following conditions for approvability:
- (1) Fully adopt and submit to EPA as a SIP revision, final regulations and documentation of the public hearing process addressing Maryland's March 27, 1997 amendment to the SIP pertaining to proposed regulatory changes to the VEIP, as a result of the flexibility afforded to Maryland from federal and state legislative changes.
- (2) Provide confirmation from the State Attorney General's Office clearly stating that Maryland's interpretation of the sunset date of the program is no earlier than November 15, 2005, or in the absence of such an opinion, submit to EPA new legislative authority allowing for such an extended sunset date of the program.

- (3) Submit to EPA a modeling demonstration of the program using the appropriate assumptions and methodology (see TSD and the Response to Public Comments section of this rule for detailed discussions) demonstrating compliance with the I/M performance standard for the years 2002 and 2005 (excluding the year 1999, as recommended by EPA).
- (4) Obtain and/or demonstrate to EPA that adequate funding and tools exist for the years 1997 and 1998, including a detailed explanation of the number of personnel dedicated to quality assurance, data analysis, program administration, and enforcement. In addition, Maryland needs to provide budget allotments for equipment resources. EPA notes that an update of the budget information is adequate to satisfy this condition.
- (5) Provide an explanation to EPA of how all subject vehicles in the program will be identified, which includes an estimate of the number of unregistered vehicles operated in the program area. Subsequent to EPA issuing guidance, Maryland needs to document how vehicles that are routinely operated in the program but not registered in the program area are identified.
- (6) Provide to EPA applicable sections of state laws and regulations specifically addressing engine switching and testing of vehicles with no certified engine configuration. Maryland needs to commit to adopting noninvasive purge test procedures when EPA specifications become available. In addition, EPA expects Maryland to submit written procedures for the gascap check and to adopt the noninvasive fuel-fill pipe pressure specifications and procedures when EPA issues the final technical guidance.